

Communication

Direct Dynamics Trajectory Study of Vibrational Effects: Can Polanyi Rules Be Generalized to a Polyatomic System?

Jianbo Liu, Kihyung Song, William L. Hase, and Scott L. Anderson

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2004**, 126 (28), 8602-8603• DOI: 10.1021/ja048635b • Publication Date (Web): 19 June 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 31, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 1 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 06/19/2004

Direct Dynamics Trajectory Study of Vibrational Effects: Can Polanyi Rules Be Generalized to a Polyatomic System?

Jianbo Liu,[†] Kihyung Song,[‡] William L. Hase,[§] and Scott L. Anderson^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112;

Department of Chemistry, Korea National University of Education, Chongwon, Chungbuk 363791, Korea; and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409

Received March 9, 2004; E-mail: anderson@chem.utah.edu

Polanyi et al.¹ developed successful rules to predict the importance of reactant vibration and collision energy (E_{col}) in driving reactions over barriers for triatomic A + BC systems; i.e., vibration should be relatively ineffective compared to E_{col} for barriers early along the reaction coordinate, and the reverse is true for systems with late barriers. Reactions of polyatomic species are complicated, with many degrees of freedom and multiple reaction pathways, raising the question of whether some sort of analogous rules are possible.

We recently reported an experimental study of a hydrogen abstraction (HA) reaction:² H₂CO⁺ + CD₄ \rightarrow H₂COD⁺ + CD₃. The reaction is excergic by 0.18 eV with no activation barriers in excess of reactant energy. Nonetheless, the reaction efficiency is quite low (~10%), unusual for ion-molecule reactions involving simple atom transfer. Reactivity is strongly enhanced by excitation of methane distortion vibrations (ν_4 and ν_2) but mode-specifically inhibited by different H₂CO⁺ vibrations, even at high E_{col} . Observation of mode-specific effects is consistent with ab initio results, indicating that the transition state (TS) on the minimum energy path is reactant-like,² such that the system still remembers its initial state at the TS. From a Polanyi rule perspective, however, a reactantlike TS would be regarded as "early", and thus substantial enhancement from CD₄ vibrations would not be anticipated.

Here we report a direct dynamics trajectory study of the effects of CD₄ distortion vibrations on this reaction that, for the first time, shows how vibrational effects originate in the reaction of small polyatomic species and how they relate to barrier location. Trajectories were calculated using VENUS99³ and GAUSSIAN01.⁴ The MP2/6-31G* level of theory was used because of methods fast enough for use in trajectories, it best fits benchmark calculations at the QCISD(T)/cc-pVDZ level. Figure 1 shows a representative reactive trajectory, where *r*CD is the separation of the abstracted D atom from the methane carbon atom, etc.. At the *E*_{col} studied (1.5 eV), trajectories are direct with a single turning point in the relative motion of the center-of-masses of reactants and products. As shown in the Supporting Information, the trajectories accurately reproduce both integral and differential cross sections for the reaction.

To explore the origins of the vibrational effects, we focus on correlations between reactivity and various trajectory parameters, sampled at the turning point of the inter-reactant separation (Figure 1). The turning point occurs within a few femtoseconds of the point of maximum potential energy. Another point of interest is the "HA point", defined here as the point from which *r*CD of the CD₄ bond is being broken, increases monotonically (labeled "HA" in Figure 1). The HA point occurs ~15 fs after the turning point; i.e., the

[†] University of Utah.



Figure 1. A representative plot of hydrogen abstraction trajectories. (a) The variation of *r*CD, *r*OD, and center-of-mass reactant distance during trajectory and (b) the variation of potential energy during trajectory.



Figure 2. Dependence of reaction probability on angles α_{D-O-C} and Φ_{plane} . The contour map is plotted for the ground state only.

actual CD bond breaking event occurs as the reactants rebound. In this sense, the HA point is "late" from the perspective of Polanyi rules.

Low reaction efficiency suggests a dynamical bottleneck along the reaction path, and the vibrational effects presumably reflect behavior at the bottleneck. In Figure 2, the dependence of the bottleneck on reactant orientation is shown as a map of reaction probability for ground-state CD₄, versus orientation at the turning point. Reaction probability is calculated as the fraction of trajectories leading to reaction for each range of two orientation parameters found to be critical. The two parameters are the angle between the CO bond and the abstracted D atom (α_{D-O-C}) and the dihedral angle that the D atom makes with respect to the H₂CO⁺ equilibrium plane (Φ_{plane}). Positive and negative Φ_{plane} correspond to approach to the convex and concave faces of the vibrating H_2CO^+ , respectively. Note that maximum reaction probability (~ 0.6) is for the CD_4 approach with the D atom in the H_2CO^+ plane, with α_{D-O-C} near 110°. The Φ_{plane} asymmetry implies that approach to the convex face of H₂CO⁺ is slightly favored, presumably because of less steric interference. Because E_{col} is too high for significant orientation steering during reactant approach, the narrow range of

[‡] Korea National University of Education.

[§] Texas Tech University.



Figure 3. (a) (Left) Probability of colliding with $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ in different ranges; (Right) Reaction probability versus $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ for all collisions. (b) Contribution of each $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ range to reaction (reactive collisions only).

reactive orientations can account for the low reaction efficiency. Figure 2 also gives curves showing reaction probability vs α_{D-O-C} and Φ_{plane} for ground state and for CD₄ with ν_4 and ν_2 excitation. Vibrational excitation does not expand the reactive range of either orientation parameter but instead increases the reactivity in favorable orientations. Clearly, orientation controls overall reactivity, but CD4 vibration enhances reactivity for favorable orientations.

The CD₃ product of the HA reaction is planar; thus it is interesting to examine correlations between reactivity and the extent to which the CD₃ moiety in CD₄ approaches planarity during the reaction. We quantify the approach to planarity by calculating the sum of the three D–C–D angles ($\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$) for the three D atoms which are not being abstracted (the three furthest from H₂CO in nonreactive collisions). The methane equilibrium geometry corresponds to $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D} = 328^{\circ}$. A planar CD₃ moiety corresponds to 360°. Figure 3a (left axis) shows the $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ distribution for different states recorded at the turning point, including reactive and nonreactive trajectories. The distributions for vibrationally excited CD₄ are somewhat broader than that for the ground state; however, the probability of colliding when the CD₃ moiety is planar is small for all states. Figure 3a (right axis) shows reaction probability vs $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$. Note that reaction probability approaches 100% as the CD₃ moiety approaches planarity, for all three states. Reactivity that is 100% results from a synergistic combination of CD₃ distortion and orientation; i.e., such large distortions occur only for collisions in near-optimal orientation, hence, the high reactivity. On the other hand, collisions in the optimal orientation react with an average probability of only \sim 60% (Figure 2), because other collision parameters (e.g., CD₄ vibrational phase) may be unfavorable.

Figure 3b gives the contribution of each angular range to the reactivity, i.e., the product of the reaction probability and the $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ distributions in Figure 3a. Two effects contribute to the vibrational enhancement. Vibrationally excited CD4 has a significantly higher probability of distorting into the highly reactive, planar-CD3 geometry during collisions (shaded area labeled "distortion" in Figure 3b). Most collisions occur with $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ nearer its equilibrium value, but vibration still enhances reaction probability. In such collisions, the enhancement results from the D atoms having vibration-induced velocities along the reaction coordinate (area labeled "velocity", Figure 3b). The division between "velocity" and "distortion" effects is arbitrary, but both are clearly important. The roughly equal contributions from the two effects probably reflect the fact that the v_4 and v_2 vibrational periods (33 and 31 fs) are comparable to the time scale when inter-reactant interaction is strong (30-40 fs, Figure 1).

As noted, the true TS (i.e., the saddle point along the minimum energy path) is reactant-like, and from a simple Polanyi-rule perspective, vibrational enhancement would not be predicted. On the other hand, the "HA point" does occur late in the collision, where vibrational enhancement would be expected. The question



Figure 4. Potential energy contour map for $H_2CO^+ + CH_4 \rightarrow H_2COH^+$ + CH₃ calculated at MP2/6-31G*. The numbers are the potential energy (eV) relative to the reactant. The blue line is a reaction trajectory.

is whether there are ways to look at the potential energy surface (PES) that would allow one to predict the vibrational effect, without having to run trajectories. In Figure 4, we show a 2D cut through the 21-dimensional PES for this reaction, fit to 1050 points calculated at the MP2/6-31G* level of theory. For a reactive collision, rOD is the reactant approach coordinate, and $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ serves as a coordinate, correlated with CD₄ vibration, describing the transition from reactants to products. To reduce dimensionality, we fixed α_{D-O-C} at 110° and Φ_{plane} at 0°, i.e., in the optimal orientation, and forced the CD₃ moiety to stay in $C_{3\nu}$ symmetry. All other coordinates were optimized at each point.

On this cut, there is a deep well corresponding to products (rOD ~1.15 Å, $\Sigma \alpha_{D-C-D}$ ~360°) separated from reactants by a ridge running along $rOD \approx 1.6-1.7$ Å. The saddle point is productlike, in the sense that there is significant CD₃ distortion toward the planar product geometry. In Polanyi rules parlance, it is a late barrier with respect to the CD₃ distortion, even though it is still reactant-like with respect to bond lengths (i.e., rCD = 1.1 Å, rOD = 1.8 Å). The figure also shows a typical reactive trajectory projected onto the 2D PES. As expected, the trajectory does not follow the minimum energy path due to the kinetic energy in vibration and $E_{\rm col}$.⁵ Instead, the methane vibrational motion gives the system substantial momentum transverse to the entrance valley, and this momentum carries the system across the barrier. The behavior is quite reminiscent of trajectories used to illustrate the Polanyi rules in late barrier A + BC reactions,¹ suggesting that by considering appropriate cuts through a multidimensional PES, with a few trajectories for guidance, it may be possible to devise Polanyi-type rules for complex polyatomic reactants.

Acknowledgment. Support of this work by the NSF (Grant CHE-0110318 and 0412677) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Michael Frisch and Gaussian, Inc. for permission to use GAUSSIAN01.

Supporting Information Available: Methods of trajectory calculations and other trajectory results. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Polanyi, J. C.; Wong, W. H. J. Chem. Phys. **1969**, *51*, 1439–1450.
 Liu, J.; Devener, B. V.; Anderson, S. L. J. Chem. Phys. **2003**, *119*, 200– 214.

- Hase, W. L.; Bolton, K.; de Sainte Claire, P.; et al. *VENUS99*, 1999.
 Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; et al. *Gaussian01*, revision B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2001.
 (a) Sun, L.; Song, K.; Hase, W. L. *Science* 2002, *296*, 875–878. (b) Ammal, S. C.; Yamataka, H.; Aida, M.; Dupuis, M. *Science* 2003, *299*, 1455. (5)1555-1557

JA048635B